

REMARKS

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1, 5-9, 13-17, and 21-24 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tse (U.S. Patent No. 6,480,718). The Examiner objected to claims 2-4, 10-12, and 18-20 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and an intervening claim.

In response hereto, Applicants have amended claim 1 to include the limitations of claim 4, have amended claim 9 to include the limitations of claim 12, and have amended claim 17 to include the limitations of claim 20. All claims are now allowable and a notice of allowance is courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 23, 2004

By: 

Bruce E. Garlick/Reg. 36,520

Garlick, Harrison & Markison
P.O. Box 160727
Austin, Texas 78716-0727
(512) 264-8816
(512) 264-3735 fax